Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2023)

		•			
Department	Executive	Date:	8/7/23	Total Rule	4
Name:	Council			Count:	
	361	Chapter/	8	Iowa Code	68B.10E-10G
IAC #:		SubChapter		Section	
		/ Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Nate Ristow	Email:	Nate.Ristow@governor.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-314-2998
Name:					

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

Provides an outline of when a lobbyist needs to register with the council. Provides reason why a lobbyists registration may be canceled and how lobbyist client reporting is filed.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

No, the Executive Council does not have statutory authority.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

None

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

None

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

No

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? 🛛 YES 🗌 NO If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Repeal the rule entirely.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes- 361-8.1(1) and (2) are unnecessary. 361-8.3 through 8.4 are also unnecessary and 8.3(2) and 8.4 use duplicative statutory language.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

361-8

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

N/A

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	4
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	311
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	9

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

N/A