

## Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2023)

|                         |                   |                                       |  |  |              |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------------|
| <b>Department Name:</b> | Executive Council | <b>Date:</b>                          | 8/7/23   | <b>Total Rule Count:</b>                   | 4            |
| <b>IAC #:</b>           | 361               | <b>Chapter/ SubChapter / Rule(s):</b> | 8  | <b>Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:</b> | 68B.10E-10G  |
| <b>Contact Name:</b>    | Nate Ristow       | <b>Email:</b>                         | <a href="mailto:Nate.Ristow@governor.iowa.gov">Nate.Ristow@governor.iowa.gov</a> | <b>Phone:</b>                              | 515-314-2998 |

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

**What is the intended benefit of the rule?**

Provides an outline of when a lobbyist needs to register with the council. Provides reason why a lobbyist's registration may be canceled and how lobbyist client reporting is filed.

**Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.**

No, the Executive Council does not have statutory authority.

**What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?**

None

**What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?**

None

**Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.**

No

**Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit?  YES  NO**

**If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.**

Repeal the rule entirely.

**Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]**

**PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE**

Yes- 361-8.1(1) and (2) are unnecessary. 361-8.3 through 8.4 are also unnecessary and 8.3(2) and 8.4 use duplicative statutory language.

**RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

361-8

**RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):**

N/A

***\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

**METRICS**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <b>Total number of rules repealed:</b>   | 4   |
| <b>Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b>                   | 311 |
| <b>Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation</b> | 9   |

**ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?**

N/A